

Text Covering Efficiency and Word Tier Analysis for the Proposal of Vocabulary Learning Order and the Analysis of Text Genres

QUALICO 2023, at the University of Lausanne, 28-30 June 2023

Please leave your questions and/or comments here if any.



Or simply send an email to: tatsu.matsushita@ninjal.ac.jp

Tatsuhiko Matsushita (National Institute for Japanese Language and Linguistics, NINJAL)

- Background**
- L2 vocabulary learning is a significant burden for learners.
 - ⇒ In what order should learners learn vocabulary to increase text coverage most efficiently?
 - ← The higher the text coverage, the better the comprehension will be. (e.g., Laufer & Ravenhorst-Kalovski, 2010)
 - ⇒ How can we evaluate the efficiency of a word list (e.g., Academic Word List, Coxhead, 2000) for learners?
 - * Simply checking the frequencies and text coverage of the grouped words (e.g., Hyland & Tse, 2007) is not enough to understand the efficiency (i.e., usefulness) of the words, especially when the total number of words are different between word lists (groups) (Matsushita, 2012). **Solution** ⇒ TCE
 - How can the characteristics of text genres be discerned based on the proportion of grouped words with different domains and levels (Basic/Intermediate/Advanced and so on)?

- Purpose**
- To demonstrate how useful an index 'Text Covering Efficiency (TCE)' (Matsushita, 2012) is for determining the vocabulary learning order for a particular text genre.
 - To demonstrate the 'Word Tier Analysis (WTA)' (Matsushita, 2012) applied to Japanese medical texts as an example to evaluate word groups (lists) and show the lexical characteristics of the target genre.
 - * The term "word tier" in Matsushita (2012) means a somewhat similar (especially in purpose) concept to the one used in Burch and Egbert (2022), but totally different in the method for identifying the tiers.

Methods [# of lemmas, in one million lemmas*]

○ Extraction of Domain-specific Words for Grouping Words

➢ Target corpora: Academic texts in the 4 domains of Arts & Humanities (Arts)[0.47], Social Sciences[1.76] (Soc), Science & Technology (Tec)[0.41], Biology & Medicine (Bio)[0.26], (as well as Literary Works (Lit.))[8.25]

➢ Reference corpus:

Non-academic texts from BCCWJ 2009 monitor version [29.9] *BCCWJ: Balanced Corpus of Contemporary Written Japanese

➢ Index for keyness: Log-likelihood Ratio

Words extracted from X domains are called AcaXD words, e.g., Aca4D words are the words shared in the domain-specific word lists extracted from the 4 academic domains respectively.

○ Text Covering Efficiency (TCE)

• TCE (E) (Matsushita, 2012)

$$E = \frac{F_t}{L_{tw}} \times \frac{1,000,000}{N_t} = \frac{F_t \times 1,000,000}{L_{tw} \times N_t}$$

Mean coverage by a word in the tested word group Standardization

E: Text Covering Efficiency (TCE) = Expected text coverage (=number of tokens) of a word in the tested word group in a one-million-token text in the target domain

F_t: Number of tokens (= text coverage) of the tested word group in the target text

L_{tw}: Number of lemmas of the tested word group

N_t: Number of tokens in the target text (text length)

TCE is relatively easy to calculate, and the results can be easily applied with relatively little distortion due to different corpus sizes. If word lists such as basic/academic/technical vocabulary for various genres are analyzed by a vocabulary frequency profiler such as AntWordProfiler (Anthony, 2022), for example, the word tiers can be shown by calculating the TCE easily.

○ Word Tier Analysis (WTA): An analysis to see the difference of genres based on TCE figures of various grouped words (e.g., Academic (4D-3D) / Technical (2D-1D) / Literary words) by frequency level (Rank 1-1,291:Basic; 1,292-5,000:Inter.; 5001-10000: H-Adv.; 10001-15000: H-Adv.; 15001-20000: S-Adv.) Rankings are by Matsushita (2012).

Results

(WTA applied to med. and other corpora)

Word Tier	# of Lemmas	Cum. # of Lemmas	Example Words (Japanese)	Example Words (Translation)	TCE values in Medical Books Order								
					Medical Books	Technical	Formal	Informal	Whole BCCWJ 2009	Essays Novels etc.			
Basic Aca4D	31	31	場合 行う	case; act	875	1073	1099	1069	667	525	382		
Inter Aca1D Bio	52	83	症状 治療	symptom; medical treatment	612	628	89	9	50	42	25		
Basic Aca1D Bio	9	92	子 鏡	element; mirror	394	322	78	53	71	103	78		
Basic	1,027	1,119	する ある	do; be	384	415	496	481	531	640	673		
H Adv Aca1D Bio	54	1,173	動脈 投与	artery; administration(of drug)	278	246	22	3	6	5	2		
Basic Aca3D	39	1,212	必要 試験	need; test	263	341	425	384	475	354	356		
Adv Aca1D Bio	68	1,280	肝 血管	liver; blood vessel	200	178	20	2	12	11	6		
Inter Aca4D	559	1,839	-性/性 -的	(suffix)-ty (quality); -ive (adjectival)	182	189	241	271	156	82	56		
Inter Aca3D	53	1,892	腎 リンパ	kidney; lymph	164	121	18	2	3	3	1		
Basic Aca2D	45	1,937	原因 -やすい	cause; easily	108	89	113	119	274	96	59		
Inter Aca3D	542	2,479	障害 パーセント	impairment; percent	91	94	95	84	121	48	27		
Inter Aca2D	391	2,870	細胞 療法	cell; method of medical treatment	85	86	59	48	113	47	25		
Adv Aca2D	429	3,299	肺 来す	lung; bring about	44	36	22	26	20	9	5		
Basic Aca1D Tec	5	3,304	-用 -室	for the use of; -room	39	69	92	97	97	92	61		
Basic Lit	142	3,446	血 壁	blood; wall	38	34	44	46	55	149	248		
Inter Aca1D Tec	46	4,452	鉄 -波	iron; -wave	20	21	39	77	40	43	23		
Inter Aca1D Soc	111	774	不良 小-/小	mal-; small/little	12	17	30	15	168	57	26		

- Medical books are extremely biased toward technical words in biology and medicine.
- WTA allows you to say things like, "Learning the intermediate words in biology and medicine (Inter_Aca1D_Bio) is 12+ times more efficient in covering medical books for students than in covering newspaper texts, and 3.1 times more efficient than learning advanced words in biology and medicine (Adv_Aca1D_Bio)." .

Conclusion

- ◆ WTA using TCE can clarify 1) differences in the relative importance of different groups of words according to the purpose of learning, and 2) lexical differences among various text genres.
- ◆ The higher the TCE figure is, the more useful the words will be. The most efficient learning order can be determined by the TCE figures.
- ◆ TCE is a simple and robust index for comparing the usefulness of word groups (lists) as well as for discerning the lexical characteristics of different text genres.

Limitations & Future Research

- ◆ The quality of the words in the text is not considered.
- ◆ by incorporating these methods into a word frequency profiler such as J-LEX (Suganaga and Matsushita, 2013), such analysis can be facilitated.

References (excerpt) *For the full reference list, please check it out here.

Coxhead, A. (2000). A new academic word list. *TESOL Quarterly*, 34(2), 213-238.

Hyland, K., & Tse, P. (2007). Is there an "Academic Vocabulary"? *TESOL Quarterly*, 41(2), 235-253.

Matsushita, T. (2012). In what order should learners learn Japanese vocabulary? A corpus-based approach (PhD thesis). Victoria University of Wellington.

Downloaded from <https://researcharchive.vuw.ac.nz/xmlui/handle/10063/4476>

Suganaga, Y. and Matsushita, T. (2013). J-LEX: An Online Lexical Analyzer of Japanese Texts. Available from <http://www17408ui.sakura.ne.jp/index.html>



This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18H00679, 20KK0005 and 23H00072